# Pulsar

Grad-Shafranov formalism (Beskin, Gurevich, Istomin 1983)

Time-dependent force-free (Spitkovsky 2006)

## magnetospheres

A computational perspective

Hayk Hakobyan (Columbia)

with

Sasha Philippov (UMD)

Sasha Chernoglazov (IAS) Anatoly Spitkovsky (Princeton) MHD (Tchekhovskoy, Spitkovsky, Li 2013) Kinetic (Philippov, Spitkovsky, Cerutti 2015)



Complex radio-lightcurve of the Crab





← Abdo+ (2010); Kuiper, & Hermsen (2015)





← Abdo+ (2010); Kuiper, & Hermsen (2015)







## Pulsar "Tamagotchi"





#### Pulsar "Tamagotchi": overfeeding the pulsar

#### **Force-free Solution**

- $B^2 \gg 
  ho_\pm c^2$  (in fact  $ho_\pm = 0$ )
- assume  $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  (almost) everywhere
- implicit (numerical) dissipation

Time-dependent force-free formulation:

Maxwell's eqn-s + **j** closure which satisfies  $\partial_t (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} =$ 

$$j = \nabla \cdot E \; \frac{E \times B}{B^2} + \frac{(B \cdot (\nabla \times B) - E \cdot \nabla \times E)B}{B^2}$$





#### Pulsar "Tamagotchi": overfeeding the pulsar



#### **Force-free Solution**

- $B^2 \gg 
  ho_\pm c^2$  (in fact  $ho_\pm = 0$ )
- assume  $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  (almost) everywhere
- implicit (numerical) dissipation



#### Pulsar "Tamagotchi": overfeeding the pulsar





**Kinetic Solution** 

**Kinetic Solution** 





the cascade is modeled (as long as  $n_+ \ge n_{GI}$ )

also see Chen & Beloborodov (2014), Cerutti+ (2016), Kalapotharakos+ (2018), Bransgrove+ (2023)

#### Have we learned anything more than with force-free?

**Kinetic Solution** 



← Plasma density in "regions which are *not* force-free"

HH, Philippov, Spitkovsky (2023)

**Kinetic Solution** 

also see *Cerutti+ (2016, 2017), Cerutti+ (2020), Hu & Beloborodov (2022)* 



← Plasma density in "regions which are *not* force-free"

Poynting flux is dissipated via *magnetic reconnection* 

Zhang & Sironi (2023)

21

3 L

HH, Philippov, Spitkovsky (2023)



**Emission modeling: lightcurves** 



i=30 - Phase=0.00 - Positrons -

Lightcurves = caustics (assuming emission along **B**)



**Emission modeling: lightcurves** 

i=30 - Phase=0.00 - Positrons -



also see

Lightcurves = caustics (assuming emission along **B**)

Strong synchrotron cooling leads to emission beaming







Chernoglazov, HH, Philippov (2023)

#### Emission modeling: $\gamma$ -ray spectra



Sironi & Spitkovsky (2014), Guo+ (2014), Werner+ (2016), Zhang+

magnetization parameter:

$$\sigma = \frac{B_0^2/4\pi}{n_0 m_e c^2} \gg 1$$

for pulsars:  $\sigma_{LC} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_{pc}/m_e c^2}{n_{LC}/n_{GJ}^{LC}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{voltage}}{\text{multiplicity}}$ 

HH+ (2021)

also see

(2021)  $dn = C^{-2} \dots E^{-1}$   $dn = C^{-2} \dots E^{-1}$   $E \sim \sigma m_e C^2$  $E - particle kinetic energy (<math>\equiv \gamma - 1$ )

#### Emission modeling: $\gamma$ -ray spectra



HH+ (2021)

also see

Sironi & Spitkovsky (2014), Guo+ (2014), Werner+ (2016), Zhang+ (2021)



magnetization parameter:

$$\sigma = \frac{B_0^2/4\pi}{n_0 m_e c^2} \gg 1$$

for pulsars:  $\sigma_{LC} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_{pc}/m_e c^2}{n_{LC}/n_{CL}^{LC}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{voltage}}{\text{multiplicity}}$ 

 $\beta_{\rm rec}B_0|e| = \frac{\sigma_T}{4\pi}B_0^2\gamma_{\rm syn}^2$ cooling drag  $\gamma_{\rm syn}^{LC} \approx 10^5 \left(\frac{B_{LC}}{10^5 \, G}\right)^{-1/2} \qquad E_{\rm syn} \sim \hbar \omega_B \gamma_{\rm syn}^2 = \frac{9}{4} \frac{\beta_{\rm rec}}{\alpha_E} m_e c^2$ 





magnetization parameter:  $\sigma_{LC} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_{pc}/m_e c^2}{n_{LC}/n_{CL}^{LC}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{voltage}}{\text{multiplicity}}$ 

synchrotron burnoff:  $\gamma_{\rm syn}^{LC} \approx 10^5 \left(\frac{B_{LC}}{10^5 \, c}\right)^{-1/2}$ 





also see HH, Ripperda, Philippov (2023)



#### **Emission modeling: pair-production**



 $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow e^{\pm}$  creates a feedback loop, loading the sheet with plasma and self-regulating  $\sigma_{LC}$ :  $\gamma_{max} \sim \sigma_{LC} \& \sigma_{LC} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{voltage}}{\text{multiplicity}}$ 

pair production multiplicity:

$$\mathcal{M}_{LC} \sim 2 \cdot 10^6 \left(\frac{\dot{E}}{10^{38} \text{ erg/s}}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{P}{100 \text{ ms}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{L_X/\dot{E}}{1\%}\right) \left(\frac{L_{\gamma}/\dot{E}}{0.1\%}\right)$$

Crab:  $\mathcal{M}_{LC} \sim 10^7$ Vela:  $\mathcal{M}_{LC} \sim 100$ 

*HH, Philippov, Spitkovsky (2019)*; also see *Philippov & Kramer (2022)* mechanism proposed by *Lyubarskii (1996)* 



#### **Emission modeling: pair-production**





mechanism proposed by Lyubarskii (1996)

Emission modeling: multiwavelength (Vela)





Chernoglazov, HH, Philippov (in prep.)

## Future challenges: high-energy emission

#### <u>? Multiwavelength</u> <u>emission of Crab</u>

#### <u>? Phase-resolved</u> <u>X-ray polarization</u> <u>in Crab</u>



- no distinct TeV signal
- strong X-ray
- copious  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow e^{\pm}$ ?



Bucciantini+ (Nature, 2023) with IXPE dynamics of lower-energy (weakly cooled) pairs

#### <u>? Alternative sites for</u> <u>high-energy emission</u>



#### Future challenges: more broadly



#### How do pulsars shine beyond radio? (the story so far...)

• In well-fed magnetospheres, dissipation occurs only in the equatorial current layer via **magnetic reconnection** 

- Current layers emitting synchrotron  $\gamma$ rays are **strongly cooled** *a-priori*:  $\gamma_{syn} \ll \gamma_{max}$
- For the most energetic pulsars (*E* ≥ 10<sup>35</sup> erg/s), most of the plasma is produced *in-situ* in the layer via γγ → e<sup>±</sup>, potentially producing a lower-energy (opt/UV/keV) counterpart

• Pairs outside the LC are accelerated up to  $\gamma_{max} \sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{polar cap voltage}}{\text{multiplicity @ LC}}$ 

• Emission is beamed along **B** and cuts off at around

 $\sim 16 \text{ MeV} \cdot (\gamma_{\text{max}} / \gamma_{\text{syn}})$ 

 Inverse-Compton scattering of the lowerenergy counterpart can produce the observed ~ 20 TeV signal in Vela